

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In reapplication

Docket No: Q63915

Samir Kumar BRAHMACHARI, et al.

Appln. No.: 09/820,843

Group Art Unit: 1631

Confirmation No.: 7045

Examiner: Carolyn L. SMITH

Filed: March 30, 2001

For:

A COMPUTATIONAL METHOD FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF CANDIDATE

PROTEINS USEFUL AS ANTI-INFECTIVES

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on September 7, 2004 between attorney for Applicants Mark L. Hayman, and Examiner Smith and Primary Examiner Marscel:

REMARKS

During the interview, the following were discussed:

- No exhibits were discussed or demonstrations conducted. However, a draft
 Amendment was provided prior to the interview for the Examiner's review.
 - 2. Claims 20-33 were discussed.
 - 3. No prior art was discussed.
- 4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: Examiner Smith stated that the Amendments to the claims as presented in Applicants' draft Amendment overcome the indefiniteness rejection of claims 20-33 as well as the written description rejection of claim 33.

